

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/843,650	04/27/2001	Shinji Ohuchi	IIZ 123	7849
75	90 01/06/2003			
RABIN & CHAMPAGNE, P.C. Steven M. Rabin SUITE 500			EXAMINER	
			MITCHELL, JAMES M	
1101 14th STR1	EET			
Washington, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2827	
		DATE MAILED: 01/06/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<b>√</b>			
	09/843,650	ОНИСНІ	/			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	James Mitchell	2827				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence	address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	6(a). In no event, however within the statutory minim ill apply and will expire SIX cause the application to b	r, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered ti ( (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of thi ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mely. is communication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 A	<u>lovember 2002</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-fina	al.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application	•					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from considerat	ion.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirem	ent.				
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		on.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document						
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu</li><li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li></ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17	7.2(a)).	nal Stage			
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provision	onal application).			
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5)	interview Summary (PTO-413) Papel Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office A	ction Summary	Pa	rt of Paper No. 15			

Art Unit: 2827

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 11 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Anzai (US 6,323,551).
- 3. Anzai discloses a semiconductor apparatus comprising a semiconductor device (11d) to be mounted on a circuit board, a plurality of conductive post ("lead", 13) electrically connected to the semiconductor device and means for mounting the device onto a circuit board (Column 2, Line 1) by soldering (via solder contacts, 16), including a plurality of conductive bumps (16) respectively positioned on an outer end of each of the conductive post for soldering onto the circuit board, wherein a peripheral edge of resin (15) covering for sealing a surface of the semiconductor device and an outer edge of the conductive post (exposed surface) are inherently separated by a distance narrower than a height5 of the conductive post; wherein the semiconductor device is provided with a plurality of electrode pads (12) connected to the conductive posts with each pad arranged between two conductive post; with the conductive bumps of solder (Col.5,

Art Unit: 2827

Lines 6-7); the molding shaped to have a step (shown Fig 1A; via top molding, 15) along the entirety of a peripheral edge of the semiconductor device, the step having upper (top surface) and lower portion (portion below solder, 16); said molding resin not covering a peripheral side surface of each conductive post (Abstract) and an inherent insulating layer (via chip manufacture) formed on a peripheral surface of the semiconductor device between an upper surface of the semiconductor device and conductive post, wherein the molding resin is shaped to have a peripheral side surface on the identical plane with side surface of the semiconductor device; and peripheral side surface of each conductive post is formed in the same plane as that of the peripheral side surface of the semiconductor device.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anzai.
- Anzai discloses the elements stated in paragraph 3, but does not appear to 6. disclose that the difference in level between the upper portion and lower portion is half of a thickness of the mold resin between 40 and 60 micrometers, or that the insulating layer ahs a width of 100 to 200 micrometers.

Art Unit: 2827

- 7. In any case, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice bounded by well known manufacturing constraints and ascertainable by routine experimentation and optimization for the difference in level between the upper portion and lower portion to be half of a thickness of the mold resin resin between 40 and 60 micrometers because applicant has not disclosed in the specification that the dimensions are for a particular unobvious purpose, produce an unexpected result, or are otherwise critical, and it appears prima facie that the process would possess utility using another dimension. Indeed, it has been held that mere dimensional limitations are prima facie obvious absent a disclosure that the limitations are for a particular unobvious purpose, produce an unexpected result, or are otherwise critical. See, for example, In re Rose, 220 F.2d 459, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955); In re Rinehart, 531 F.2d 1048, 189 USPQ 143 (CCPA 1976); Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc., 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984); In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).
- 8. Claims 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anzai as applied to claim 11 and further in combination with Taguchi (U.S 6,285,085).
- 9. Anzai discloses that the first conductive bump is solder but does not appear to disclose a second conductive bump formed on the respective peripheral portion of the conductive post.
- 10. However Taguchi (Fig 1) utilizes a second conductive bump formed on the side surface of a conductive post.

Art Unit: 2827

- 11. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate a second conductive bump formed on the side surface of the conductive post of Anzai in order to provide for lateral mount (shown Taguchi Fig 14) and a connection surface to circuit substrates thereby reducing the area for connection as taught by Taguchi (Column 4, Lines 22-25).
- 12. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yasunaga et al. (U.S 6,191,493).
- Yasunaga (Fig 2, 3, 25, 33) discloses a semiconductor apparatus comprising a 13. semiconductor device (3), a plurality of conductive posts (9) electrically connected to the semiconductor device, a plurality of conductive solder bumps (10) each provided on an outer end of the conductive posts, wherein a distance between a peripheral edge of the semiconductor device and an outer edge of the post is determined to be narrow; a plurality of pads (8) connected to the posts with the pads being arranged on a line extending the center of the semiconductor device; with a plurality of electrode pads being arranged between two adjacent conductive post wherein the pads connected to the post are arranged directly under a corresponding post (Fig 3); a molding resin (1) which covers a surface of the semiconductor device; and insulating layer (13) which is formed at portions corresponding to the conductive posts and at a peripheral portion of said semiconductor device, wherein the molding resin is on the identical plane with a peripheral side surface of the semiconductor device; and means for mounting device (Fig 35) onto a circuit board ("Col. 20, Lines 10-11) by soldering (53) conductive bumps (10) positioned on an outer end of conductive post (9).

Application/Control Number: 09/843,650 Page 6

Art Unit: 2827

14. With respect to claims 2, 8 and 9, Yasunaga does not appear to disclose the distance of the post to the edge of the device is in a range between 50 to 100 micrometers such that the distance is narrower than the height of the conductive post, the resin shaped to have a step having an upper and lower portion wherein the difference in level is half of a thickness of the mold resin, the lower portion of the step ranging between 40 to 60 micrometers, or the insulating layer having a width of 100 to 200 micrometers.

15. See paragraph 7.

### Response to Arguments

16. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 have been considered but are not found persuasive. Applicant tries to establish criticality of the placement of the conductive posts by indicating that the invention provides an advantage that bumps can be recognized visually, and has an ability to radiate heat; applicant fails to address the criticality of the step molding resin. However bumps being able to be recognized visually are not an unobvious purpose and don't produce an unexpected result. Furthermore, any array of bumps can be recognized visually and the ability to radiate heat is not exclusive to bumps being in the claimed position.

## Allowable Subject Matter

17. Claims 15-22 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art does not disclose or make obvious removing a part of a molding resin over a wafer such that the resin is stepped shape at a peripheral edge or providing

Art Unit: 2827

a wafer with grooves at portions corresponding to dicing lines filled with insulating material while a part of a pad is not covered with a metal post in the groove and molding the wafer such that the resin is on the same plane as the post.

#### **Conclusion**

18. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Mitchell whose telephone number is (703) 305-0244. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:30-8:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Talbott can be reached on (703) 305-9883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

Art Unit: 2827

305-3432 for regular communications and (703) 305-3230 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

jmm

January 1, 2003

DAVID E. GRAYBILL PRIMARY EXAMINER

e en